

Boston Nov^r 19th 1810

Recapⁿ of Flower & prefatory to History
of Botany & Biography of Linnaeus

We told you in our last Lecture, that Botanists had been somewhat puzzled in defining a flower. We told you that it did not mean simply the petals, nor the beautiful corolla made out of these petals. We endeavoured to convince you that the essence of a flower consists in the antheræ & stigma, whether they be surrounded by the fine painted petals or not. (Black-pepper has no corolla)

We described the stamina wth their important protuberances, w^c we s^d were capsules, or bags containing a very fine powder, or pollen; & we shewed you a drawing of one of them magnified. We told you that each dust or particle of this powder, was an organic body, & that the white lily gave the best example of them.

We then spoke of a part equally important with the stamina, viz the Pistillum, the top of w^c is called Stigma, & was covered with a glutinous matter w^c arrested the powder of the antheræ. We told you that the Germen was the basis of the pistillum; & that it contained the primordia, or rudiments of the future seed; but that in order that the seed sh^d come to perfection, it was absolutely needful that the powder in the antheræ sh^d shed its influence on the Pistillum, & through the pistillum to the rudiments of the seed in the seed-vessel — Now this is not a mere hypothesis, or theory, but

but we know the fact from from rigid & reiterated experiments; but the precise modus operandi is not exactly known, for the pistillum is perfectly solid, & has no cavity that we could ever discover.

We s^d, that when the Germen had grown to maturity, it changed its name to Pencarpium, or seed-vessel; of w^c we have many examples — Stramonium — Poppy — Pod — &c.

We s^d. that the perfection of the Vegetable consisted in its fructification; the essence of the fructification in the flower & fruit; - the essence of the flower in the antheræa & stigma; and the essence of the fruit in the seed: and that the essence of the seed consists in the corculum; & the essence of the corculum in the Plumula, in w^c is the punctum oræ of the plant itself; a part capable, by the combination of extrinsic caloric, with its innate oxygen, of increasing, like a bud, to infinity.

— We s^d. that Trees carried from these cold northern climates, to warm-southern ones, were divested of their buds; so Trees that ~~Taxacum~~ are natives of warm climates, make a feeble attempt to acquire buds when transplanted hither, as you see in this Catalpa, & Locust.

Let us now turn our attention to System, or botanical arrangement; for Method is the soul of Science —

There are no traces of what is called System, in the writings of the ancients on Botany. Indeed the botany of the ancients was too slender to bear the name of science. The science of Botany as well as Mineralogy, is of modern date.

I presume that you all comprehend the reason why Naturalists were so anxious to establish a System, or just & if possible, a natural arrangement of the objects of nature, especially, of the vegetable kingdom. Vegetables are so numerous, & are exhibited under such a variety of forms, that the student feels himself lost in the exuberance before him. He is bewildered, and, like a man who attempts to count the stars in the Heavens, unassisted by art: his faculties become distracted, & he gives over the pursuit in despair.—

To remedy this embarrassment, artificial helps have been invented: Thus, by a simple artifice, we can, not only count all the stars in the Heavens, but we know them so accurately, that if one were to be added, we c^r. discover it; and if one taken away, we sh^r. miss it.

There are nearly 50,000 species of plants. Now these appear like one immense & endless forest; or a boundless garden of vegetables, absolutely unknowable. And what we want is, to reduce this scanning infinity; and this can only be done by throwing all that resemble each other into masses by themselves; for without such disposition

the immensity of plants w^r. resemble those vast Asiatice
& African armies, w^c are nothing more yr immense mobs
of the human species. It is impossible, unless by chance to
find out a particular person or individual in such a
herd as this. What is wanted is, to reduce this vast host
of people, & marshal them into a regular army, so that
we may know not only to what grand division the person
we seek for belongs, but to what regiment - to what company,
to what platoon, & to what file he belongs, so that we may
come to know his name, & be led to the character of the
man.

The Botanists for two or three centuries past have been so
many disciplinarians, each labouring to surpass the other in
accurate distribution. The Botany of the ancients was
like those vast mixed multitudes, w^e compose, at this
day the armies of Abyssinia, in w^c you can discover no
particular corps, or company, much less find out any
individual, altho' you were satisfied he was somewhere
in the vast crowd. Now, what such a mob of people is to
a perfectly regular army of the most distinguished
European powers of the present day was the Botany of
the ancients composed with the Botany under the
marshalling hand of Linnæus: so that we may call
Gesner - Caspary - Ray - Morrison - Boerhaave and
Tournefort Botanical generals, while Linnæus was to
them what Frederick of Prussia, was to his prudefopens -

The establishment of system in Botany, was, to use
the words of Linneaus, the beginning of the golden age
of botany. To the revival & establishment of system,
succeeded a discovery of the highest importance in the
philosophy of Botany, viz that there was in plants,
as in all other organized beings a distinction of sexes.
But we reserve the discussion of this subject for our in-
tended publication.

The history of Botany, & of its gradual arrangement
from a confused heap of facts to a regular system, must
be a desirable piece of information to everyone, who wishes
to acquire even a general knowledge of this agreeable
study. This history is diffused through a great number
of huge folio volumes; but we have selected, with
no small labour, such a narrative from them, as
we suppose would be gratifying to you all.

It is the duty of a Lecturer to read for those whom
he presumes to teach, & to select & lay before them such
facts & reasonings, as he may judge most suitable to
satisfy their enquiries. I used . . . to tell the young
gentlemen at the University, that there was even an art
in reading a book w^c every young man has not acquired,
and some never attain. In reading a book the mind is sometimes
pensive. a stream of ideas run through the brain, without de-
positing any of its contents; or, should any be left behind, the

the next stream, from another author washes them all away,
& so on in succession.

There is besides a shell & a husk in what is called learning, & is discoverable in almost every book w^c the student knows not, always, how to strip off, & reserve only the kernel, or nutritive meat. A large Library may be to an inquisitive young man a lumber-room. There is in it, every thing he wants, but he does not know how to come at it; & a great portion of time is wasted in a vain search of what he cannot find. It is the duty ∴ of public teachers in public Seminaries to abstract these articles, & arrange these subjects, & so dispose them that the mind may operate to advantage.

In the intricate road of science there are says L^P Bacon, three modes of advancing - the 1st is feeling out ones way as in the dark. 2^d is to follow a light w^c some one carries before us, and 3rd is, when dim sighted some one leads us by the hand. Now, when a youth enters ever so large a library, there to read by himself without any direction ^{from} any one who already knows the contents of the books, it is what L^P Bacon calls grooving our way in the dark, but teaching by lectures is as if some one led us by the hand.

There is another advantage in lectures over reading, viz studying in an association, provided they converse together on

on what they hear. What escapes one is caught by another, and a third may be struck w^t. what escaped them both. As no two persons see the same object exactly in the same point of view with his neighbour, so the comparing their ideas frequently strikes out new facts, & thereby more light is thrown in the path, & on the object of pursuit. Nor are doubts & objections without their use.

Duty persons thus studying in an association, operate by the power of 40, instead of the power of one, the solitary reader, alone in his study. Beside to give a useful & dignified topic for conversation is no trivial matter in the education of young people. How large a portion of the time of young people, of both sexes is lost for want of a profitable topic for conversation? —

This mode of teaching by lectures is sanctioned by the usage of ancient Greece, & of modern Europe. A person may, by lectures in a free & familiar manner shew how far a science has been successfully prosecuted; & in what instances imperfectly explored. It instructs the student in w^t. is to be avoided & what yet remains to be done, & assists him in the regulation of his future studies. Some Lecturers may give you the sketch of a system, or the epitome of a volume, in the course of an hour, & may, by judicious criticism display the excellencies, & expose the defects, of book, more advantageously ^{any other way} than by ~~a printed book~~. — It is barely possible we may ~~ever~~ exemplify these opinions by a sketch of the history of Botany, & of its great master Linnaeus.

These

These historical sketches are of great advantage to young people, who often confound names, dates & countries, in common conversation, in a manner that has sometimes exposed the ignorance of our youth, to well informed foreigners. - Nor is it always confined to youth - I have heard medical men betray their ignorance, respecting the times & countries of the fathers of their own medical art, not knowing which lived first Galen or Hippocrates - Sydenham or Boerhaave. Hippocrates. - full ~~to hear~~ men confounding Alexander the Great with Alexander? They might as well confound Alexander with the great with Alexander? the copper smith

The foregoing worth
attention Novr 1831